





UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/201,484	11/30/1998	J WILTSE CARPENTER	3382-51386	1596
75	90 04/02/2004		EXAMI	NER
KLARQUIST	SPARKMAN CAMPE	KOENIG, ANDREW Y		
LEIGH & WHI	NSTON			
ONE WORLD TRADE CENTER SUITE 1600			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
121 S W SALMON STREET			2611	92
PORTLAND, (OR 972042988		DATE MAIL ED: 04/02/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1

Advisory Action	Application No. 09/201,484	Applicant(s) CARPENTER ET AL.	
;	09/201,464	I CARPENIER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Andrew Y Koenig	2611	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		i i	
E REPLY FILED 19 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE erefore, further action by the applicant is required to all rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (THIS APPLICATION IN CO avoid abandonment of this a (1) a timely filed amendmen	NDITION FOR ALLOWANCE application. A proper reply to a twhich places the application	E. a in
ndition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe amination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	eal (with appeal fee); or (3) a	a timely filed Request for Cont	inued
PERIOD FOR F	REPLY [check either a) or b]	
The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing day The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date cas set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Otely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	s Advisory Action, or (2) the date selecter than SIX MONTHS from the AS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS are date on which the petition under dof extension and the corresponding the shortened statutory period for frice later than three months after	e mailing date of the final rejection. S OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriating amount of the fee. The appropriator reply originally set in the final Office	MPEP te extension te extension e action; or
A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
☑ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered l	• • • •	••	
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require furtl	her consideration and/or se	arch (see NOTE below):	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note		(
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by	materially reducing or simplify	ying the
(d) they present additional claims without cance	eling a corresponding numb	er of finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):		
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted	n a separate, timely filed ame	ndment
☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: S	or reconsideration has been tee Continuation Sheet.	considered but does NOT pla	ice the
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOL	ELY to issues which were new	wly
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w	nt(s) a)⊠ will not be entered vould be rejected is provide	d or b)∏ will be entered and a d below or appended.	an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4, 17-19, 25-32</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ app	proved or b) disapprove	d by the Examiner.	
Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No	o(s)	
Other:	, ,		

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No. 009/201,484



Continuation of 2. NOTE: The requested amendments to independent claims 1, 17, 31, and 32 introduce new limitations that would require further search and consideration..

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant's arguments directed to independent claims 25 and 28 are not persuasive.

The applicant argues that Hoarty fails to teach or suggest a "proxy server... translating the received control data into control data representing a video control action in the second control protocol," as recited in claim 25; simarily recited in claim 28 as "translating the received control data into control data representing a video control action in a second videoon-demand server control protocol." The examiner disagrees. Control data is given the broadest reasonable interpretation in the art, of control data including control commands along with the address information. Since Hoarty teaches changing the addressing scheme between an IP and IHOP, Hoarty teaches translatting the received control data (where the control data includes address information along with control commands).

VIVEK SRIVASTAVA
PRIMARY EXAMINER